

WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY

FALL-2013 COURSE SURVEY SUMMARY

(Page 1)

Instructor: SUSAK CHRISTOPHER

Course: ENG3020 Call No. 14619

Students Responding: 21 out of 24

¹ This course is compared only to other courses with _____ initial student interest.

SUMMARY COURSE EVALUATION

Q1. How would you rate this course?

² RATINGS			#RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES						
Mn.	Md.	SD.	Exllnt (5)	V.Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	N/A ³ (A)	U/A ³ (B)

3.1	3	1.1	2	6	8	3	2	0	0
-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Q2. How much have you learned in this course?

3.3	3	1.3	4	6	5	4	2	0	0
-----	---	-----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

SUMMARY INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION

Q24. How would you rate the instructor's teaching in this course?

Mn.	Md.	SD.	Exllnt	V.Good	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A ³	U/A ³
-----	-----	-----	--------	--------	------	------	------	------------------	------------------

3.6	4	1	5	6	7	3	0	0	0
-----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

MEAN SUMMATIVE CLASS RATING

10 1.1

STUDENT PROFILE

A. Before enrolling I had an interest in the *subject matter* of this course.

² RATINGS			#RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES				
Mn.	Md.	SD.	S.Agr (5)	Agree (4)	Neutr (3)	Dis (2)	S.Dis (1)

3	3	1.1	2	4	8	4	2
---	---	-----	---	---	---	---	---

B. I wanted to take this course.

3	3	1.1	2	5	5	6	1
---	---	-----	---	---	---	---	---

MEAN SUMMATIVE INTEREST RATING

6 1.1

C. The course for me was:.....

Required	Elective
----------	----------

18 2

D. I attended approximately this percentage of class meetings:.....

< 60%	60-69%	70-79%	80-89%	90-100%
-------	--------	--------	--------	---------

0 0 0 1 19

E. I expect a final grade in this course of :

A	B	C	D	Other
---	---	---	---	-------

17 3 0 0 0

¹To be determined at a later date, when sufficient data have been accumulated.

²Mn=Mean; Md=Median; SD=Standard Deviation

³N/A = Not Applicable; U/A = Unable to Answer

NOTE: Categorical ranking of Mean Summative Interest Rating and categorical ranking of Mean Summative Class Rating have not yet been determined. As a temporary measure, three scatter plots of Summative Mean Class Scores by Summative Mean Interest Scores are being provided for your use in comparing your SET ratings to others in your department, your school/college, and the entire University. *The scales on the graphs have been extended by one point on the upper side for visual clarity.* If you did not receive the graphs, please contact your School/College/Unit SET coordinator.

WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY

FALL-2013 COURSE SURVEY SUMMARY

(Page 2)

Instructor: SUSAK CHRISTOPHER

Course: ENG3020 Call No. 14619

Students Responding: 21 out of 24

INSTRUCTOR FEEDBACK-DIAGNOSTICS

	² RATINGS			#RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES						
	<u>Mn.</u>	<u>Md.</u>	<u>SD.</u>	S.Agr (5)	Agree (4)	Neutr (3)	Dis (2)	S.Dis (1)	N/A ³ (A)	U/A ³ (B)
Organization/Clarity										
Q3. This course was well organized.	2.3	2	1	1	1	5	11	3	0	0
Q4. The instructor made clear and understandable presentations.	3.6	4	1.1	4	9	4	2	1	1	0
Q5. The instructor's use of examples and/or illustrations helped me understand the subject matter.	4	4	0.8	4	12	1	2	0	2	0
Q6. My responsibilities as a student in this course were made clear.	3.4	4	1	2	10	5	3	1	0	0
Instructor Enthusiasm										
Q7. The instructor was enthusiastic about the subject matter.	4.6	5	0.7	14	4	2	0	0	0	0
Q8. The instructor encouraged and/or motivated me to do my best work.	4.4	5	0.9	12	5	2	1	0	0	0
Group Interaction										
Q9. The instructor encouraged student questions.	4.7	5	0.8	18	1	1	1	0	0	0
Q10. The instructor encouraged expression of ideas.	4.7	5	0.7	17	3	0	1	0	0	0
Individual Rapport										
Q11. All things considered, the instructor was available to me.	4.2	4	0.8	8	10	2	1	0	0	0
Q12. The instructor treated all students in the class with respect.	4.6	5	0.7	15	4	2	0	0	0	0
Breadth of Coverage										
Q13. The instructor demonstrated good knowledge of the course content.	4.5	5	0.7	14	4	3	0	0	0	0
Q14. The instructor discussed differing views about material when appropriate.	4.6	5	0.7	15	4	2	0	0	0	0
Examinations/Grading										
Q15. The grading procedures were explained at an appropriate point in the course.	3.6	4	1.1	4	10	3	3	1	0	0
Q16. Evaluation and grading methods were fair.	4	4	1.1	7	8	3	1	1	0	1
Q17. The instructor provided feedback on my performance in a reasonable amount of time.	2.4	2	1.4	3	2	3	6	7	0	0
Q18. The instructor's feedback on my work was helpful.	3.8	4	1.2	6	9	1	3	1	0	0
Assignments/Readings										
Q19. The readings contributed to my understanding of course content.	3.2	4	1.2	1	11	4	1	4	0	0
Q20. Other assignments contributed to my understanding of course content.	3.4	4	1.1	2	10	5	2	2	0	0

	RATINGS			#RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES						
	<u>Mn.</u>	<u>Md.</u>	<u>SD.</u>	TDfct (+2)	Dfct (+1)	Modrt (0)	Elmntr (-1)	TooEle (-2)	N/A ³	U/A ³
Q21. For me, the course difficulty level was:.....	+0.2	0	0.5	0	5	14	1	0	0	1
Q22. The workload in the course was:.....	+0.7	+1	0.7	TooHvy (+2)	Heavy (+1)	Modrt (0)	Little (-1)	T.Lttl (-2)	N/A ³	U/A ³
				3	8	10	0	0	0	0
Q23. The course pace was:.....	+0.2	0	0.8	T.Fast (+2)	Fast (+1)	Modrt (0)	Slow (-1)	T.Slow (-2)	N/A ³	U/A ³
				1	5	9	4	0	0	2

INSTRUCTOR SUPPLIED ITEMS:

	#RESPONDING IN EACH OF THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES						
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(A)	(B)
1.							
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							